BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE

APPLICATION NO.19 /2013 (WZ) M.A.No.19/2014

Ramdas Janardan Koli Vs The State of Maharashtra

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.R. KINGAONKAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. AJAY A. DESHPANDE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant : In person.

Respondent No.7

Respondent Nos.8,9

Respondent Nos.1 to 4,6 : Ujwala Pawar DCP

A.S.Mulchandani AGP

Respondent No.5 : D.M.Gupte Adv

Supriya Dangare Adv Mr. K.D. Kelkar, Adv. Mr. Sagar Ghogre, Adv.

Date and	Orders of the Tribunal
Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No.6	We have heard the Applicants in person. The Applicants have submitted
March 10,2014	that the Respondents are committing violation of directions of the Tribunal. The
Order No.10	Applicants have furnished copy of Application to the Respondents.

The affidavit of Shri. M.B.Bhujbal, Dy. Engineer of CIDCO, is placed on record. Let the affidavit of Shri. Bhujbal, and claims made by Applicant in today's Application be verified by MCZMA. The Counsel of MCZMA and the Respondents also to file response to the affidavit.

We are informed that a joint Meeting has been already held in the office of Chief Secretary of State of Maharashtra, in presence of the Applicants, the officers of JNPT and other Respondents, in order to discuss and decide the issue. We are informed that the learned Chief Secretary, has directed the Respondent Nos.7 to 10, to give written replies about proposal in respect of settlement. It appears that such response is called for within one (1) week. On the basis of this development, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.8 and 9, would submit that a short adjournment may be given. We grant time till 4th April, 2014 and specifically direct that there shall be no further work carried out for reclamation and particularly, in respect of shortening of width of the channel/draft, available for egress and ingress of the tidal currents and boats of the fishermen in the creek area, as shown in the map about which the complaint has been made and which is likely to create a bottle neck. With understanding that no any further adjournment will be sought by the Respondent Nos.8 and 9, or any other respondents seeking adjournment will have to pay heavy costs of not less than Rs.25,000/- to the Applicants.

on the second secon
nt Nos.8 and 9, or any other respondents seeking adjournment will hav
vy costs of not less than Rs.25,000/- to the Applicants.
Stand over to 4 th April, 2014
(Justice V. R. Kingaonkar)
, EN
(Dr.Ajay A. Deshpande)